

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

MOBILEMEDIA IDEAS LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 10-258-SLR

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

APPLE'S PROPOSED VERDICT SHEET

Dated: November 28, 2012

We, the jury, unanimously find as follows:

I. U.S. Patent No. 6,070,068 (the “’068 Patent”)

A. Direct Infringement

1. Has MobileMedia proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that each and every limitation of Claim 23 of the ’068 Patent is found in the accused Apple iPhones (hereinafter, “Apple’s iPhones”)?

Checking “yes” below indicates a finding for MobileMedia.

Checking “no” below indicates a finding for Apple.

Yes_____ No_____

2. Has MobileMedia proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that each and every limitation of Claim 24 of the ’068 Patent is found in Apple’s iPhones?

Checking “yes” below indicates a finding for MobileMedia.

Checking “no” below indicates a finding for Apple.

Yes_____ No_____

B. Indirect Infringement – Inducing Infringement

3. Has MobileMedia proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Apple induced infringement of Claim 23 of the ’068 Patent?

Checking “yes” below indicates a finding for MobileMedia.

Checking “no” below indicates a finding for Apple.

Yes_____ No_____

4. Has MobileMedia proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Apple induced infringement of Claim 24 of the ’068 Patent?

Checking “yes” below indicates a finding for MobileMedia.

Checking “no” below indicates a finding for Apple.

Yes_____ No_____

C. Invalidity

5. Has Apple proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that the following claims of the '068 Patent are invalid as being anticipated in view of the prior art?

Checking "yes" below indicates a finding for Apple.

Checking "no" below indicates a finding for MobileMedia.

Claim 23 Yes _____ No _____

Claim 24 Yes _____ No _____

6. Has Apple proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that the following claims of the '068 Patent are invalid as being obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art as of March 19, 1996 in view of the prior art?

Checking "yes" below indicates a finding for Apple.

Checking "no" below indicates a finding for MobileMedia.

Claim 23 Yes _____ No _____

Claim 24 Yes _____ No _____

II. U.S. Patent No. 6,253,075 (the “’075 Patent”)

A. Direct Infringement

7. Has MobileMedia proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that each and every limitation of Claim 5 of the ’075 Patent is found in Apple’s iPhones?

Checking “yes” below indicates a finding for MobileMedia.

Checking “no” below indicates a finding for Apple.

Yes _____ No _____

8. Has MobileMedia proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that each and every limitation of Claim 6 of the ’075 Patent is found in Apple’s iPhones?

Checking “yes” below indicates a finding for MobileMedia.

Checking “no” below indicates a finding for Apple.

Yes _____ No _____

9. Has MobileMedia proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that each and every limitation of Claim 10 of the ’075 Patent is found in Apple’s iPhones?

Checking “yes” below indicates a finding for MobileMedia.

Checking “no” below indicates a finding for Apple.

Yes _____ No _____

B. Indirect Infringement – Inducing Infringement

10. Has MobileMedia proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Apple induced infringement of Claim 5 of the ’075 Patent?

Checking “yes” below indicates a finding for MobileMedia.

Checking “no” below indicates a finding for Apple.

Yes _____ No _____

11. Has MobileMedia proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that Apple induced infringement of Claim 6 of the ’075 Patent?

Checking “yes” below indicates a finding for MobileMedia.

Checking “no” below indicates a finding for Apple.

Yes _____ No _____

C. Invalidity

12. Has Apple proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that the following claims of the '075 Patent are invalid as being obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art as of May 19, 1998 in view of the prior art?

Checking "yes" below indicates a finding for Apple.

Checking "no" below indicates a finding for MobileMedia.

Claim 5	Yes_____	No_____
Claim 6	Yes_____	No_____
Claim 10	Yes_____	No_____

13. Has Apple proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that the following claims of the '075 Patent are invalid for failing to satisfy the written description requirement?

Checking "yes" below indicates a finding for Apple.

Checking "no" below indicates a finding for MobileMedia.

Claim 5	Yes_____	No_____
Claim 6	Yes_____	No_____
Claim 10	Yes_____	No_____

14. Has Apple proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that the following claims of the '075 Patent are invalid for failing to satisfy the enablement requirement?

Checking "yes" below indicates a finding for Apple.

Checking "no" below indicates a finding for MobileMedia.

Claim 5	Yes_____	No_____
Claim 6	Yes_____	No_____
Claim 10	Yes_____	No_____

III. U.S. Patent No. 6,427,078 (the “’078 Patent”)

A. Direct Infringement

15. Has MobileMedia proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that each and every limitation of Claim 73 of the ’078 Patent is found in Apple’s iPhones?

Checking “yes” below indicates a finding for MobileMedia.

Checking “no” below indicates a finding for Apple.

Yes_____ No_____

B. Invalidity

16. Has Apple proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that Claim 73 of the ’078 Patent is invalid as being anticipated in view of the prior art?

Checking “yes” below indicates a finding for Apple.

Checking “no” below indicates a finding for MobileMedia.

Yes_____ No_____

17. Has Apple proven, by clear and convincing evidence, that Claim 73 of the ’078 Patent is invalid as being obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art as of May 19, 1994 in view of the prior art?

Checking “yes” below indicates a finding for Apple.

Checking “no” below indicates a finding for MobileMedia.

Yes_____ No_____

You have now reached the end of the verdict form. Each juror should sign the verdict form to reflect that a unanimous verdict has been reached.

Date: _____

Jury Foreperson

Jury Member

Jury Member

Jury Member

Jury Member

Jury Member

Jury Member

Jury Member

Jury Member